

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 Teresa De Jesus Santoyo Rodriguez,
4 Plaintiff(s),
5 vs.
6 Omid Lina Akbar, et al.,
7 Defendant(s).

2:23-cv-01520-JAD-MDC

ORDER DENYING STIPULATION

8 **IT IS ORDERED** that the *Stipulation for Extension of Time* (ECF No. 48) is DENIED
9 WITHOUT PREJUDICE. The Court denied the parties' prior stipulation (ECF No. 46) because they
10 failed to comply with LR IA 6-2. It appears the parties simply resubmitted the same stipulation without
11 correction. LR IA 6-2 provides, in relevant part: "A stipulation or ex parte or unopposed motion must
12 include an "order" in the form of a signature block on which the court or clerk can endorse approval of
13 the relief sought. **This signature block must not begin on a separate page; it must appear**
14 **approximately 1 inch below the last typewritten matter on the right-hand side of the last page of**
15 **the stipulation....**" *Id.* (emphasis added). The parties are kindly directed to review the local rules and
16 may resubmit a corrected stipulation by December 17, 2024.

17
18 DATED this 6th day of December 2024.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20
21 Hon. Maximiliano D. Couvillier III
22 United States Magistrate Judge
23
24
25

